


UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES



Ex parte PIETER CORNELIS LANGEVELD, JACOBUS STARK
and PETRUS ANDREAS VAN PARIDON

Application No. 10/089,874

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on February 6, 2006. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the examiner. The matters requiring attention prior to docketing are identified below.

A review of the file indicates that the appeal brief filed August 25, 2005 did not fully comply with 37 CFR § 41.37(c).

37 CFR § 41.37(c) states in part:

(ix) *Evidence appendix*. An appendix containing copies of any evidence submitted pursuant to §§ 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 of this title or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered in the record by the examiner. Reference to unentered evidence is not permitted in the brief. See § 41.33 for treatment of evidence submitted after appeal. This appendix may also include copies of the evidence relied upon by the examiner as to grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal.

(x) *Related proceedings appendix*. An appendix containing copies of decisions rendered by a court or the Board in any proceeding identified pursuant to paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section.

A review of the application indicates that the following appropriate sections are missing from the appeal brief filed August 25, 2005:

- 1) "Evidence appendix" as set forth in 37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(ix); and
- 2) "Related proceedings appendix" as set forth in 37 CFR § 41.37(c)(1)(x).

A supplemental appeal brief in compliance with 37 CFR § 41.37 is required. For more information on the Board's new rules see the web page entitled:

<http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/dcom/bpai/fr2004/moreinfo.html>.


Application No. 10/089,874

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that this application be returned to the examiner to: 1) hold the appeal brief of August 25, 2005 defective; 2) request appellants to file a supplemental appeal brief in compliance with 37 CFR § 41.37 or submit a statement from the examiner regarding the position taken on the missing appendices; and 3) for such further action as may be appropriate.

It is important that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences be informed promptly of any action affecting the status of this appeal (i.e., abandonment, issue, reopening prosecution).

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

By: 
Craig R. Feinberg
Program and Resource Administrator
(571)272-9797

cc: KATE H MURASHIGE
MORRISON & FOERSTER
SUITE 500
3811 VALLEY CENTRE DRIVE
SAN DIEGO, CA 92130-2332

CRF/lbg